



Residents Meeting  
Wednesday 24<sup>th</sup> January 2018

**The OH Joint Meeting was postponed until next month.**

Present: Candida (Chair), Mike (Advisor), Jackie, Jill, Maureen, Pam, Eliza, Glen, Arthur

Apologies: Danny, Maggie, Tracy, Ahmed,

1. Minutes Corrections - Glen's comments on the Options Appraisal need to be identified as "possibilities given to residents", not a recommendation from the 4EF.
2. **Community Engagement Strategy** – Candida will send some clarifications to Mike. Candida
  - i. 3.2 Mike will put in a bit how the consultant will need to manage the work on each estate. Mike
3. **London Plan Objection**
  - i. The LP has a higher housing target than the local plan. How can they deliver the targets without demolishing existing estates, which we have not agreed to. So the plan is unworkable. This needs to be clarified.
  - ii. Are the GLA targets already taking into account the projects like Wood Wharf and Millharbour which have already gone ahead.
  - iii. The assumption seems to be that there will be a certain amount of estate regeneration.
  - iv. The London Plan and TH Local Plan both set targets for the Isle of Dogs & South Poplar Opportunity Area. Although the periods are different (10 years and 15 years) the annualised rates show the London Plan expects the area to deliver around 8,400 more new units than the Local Plan does between 2019-29.
  - v. Any assumption that estates will be regenerated could influence planning permissions.
  - vi. We are challenging the difference in numbers between the local and london Plan, and how they are going to reach their targets if there is no estate regeneration.
  - vii. The London Plan also highlights certain areas of the Island which include Barkantine and Samuda for 'regeneration'. What is the purpose of labelling these areas if it is not as a regeneration opportunity. These are also areas of high deprivation.
  - viii. Eliza will edit the draft objection and send to Glen for comments. Eliza/Glen
4. **The OAPF** has been delayed because there is not enough CIL to cope with the developments already in the pipeline.
5. **Neighbourhood Plan long Draft,**
  - i. The 4EF will consider submitting proposals for the Long Plan in consultation with residents but we will put this on hold until we know the situation and outcome of the Short Plan.

6. **AGM**

- i. Each estate has arranged a process to appoint estate representatives.
- ii. Cooptions - Some residents are concerned that not all groups are properly represented so cooptions can make up the balance. Cooptees should be appointed at the AGM. Hopefully residents would go through an election process rather than ask to be coopted.
- iii. Cooptees should be chosen by the 4EF not just be volunteers, and the focus should be on what skills they can contribute.

7. **Consultation**

- i. When the consultation moves onto the estates the 4EF roles will be to ensure that the residents are driving the process rather than OH.

8. **AOB**

- i. Joe Ward asked if we want him come and talk to us about proposed increase in Community Infrastructure levy.
- ii. It may be useful to set up a separate website later to enable residents to express views, and provide public information to residents about options, offers to tenants and owners etc
- iii. Sarah Castro will be invited to talk to a meeting about concerns about the changing relationship HA's are having with their residents and developers. Arthur
- iv. Should Citystyle be advertising their estate agency through OH post. What Citystyle is up to is something we could bring up to with Richard.
- v. Area Board - when we have a date we need to arrange who is able to go. Arthur