



Minutes of the 4EF Meeting

23rd August 2022

St John's Community Centre

Present		
Residents:	Candida (chair), Arthur (mins), Jenny, Maggie, , Maureen, Jill, Eliza, Pam, Peter, Glen	
Advisor:	Mike	
OH:		
Apologies:	Kim, Julius, Lesley, Daniel, , Jackie, Ahmed, Deidre, Juliet	
	<i>We were expecting Paul Handley or Richard Hill to attend, but they didn't come.</i>	
	Mikes Report	
	The number of decants in KSW are the same as the month before. OH are not doing direct offers yet, but are still using the Common Housing Register. This is falling behind.	
	The residents of AS&O have set the questions for the shortlisting for the ILTA and are in control of the appointment process.	
	The current plans for Kingsbridge do not comply with the tall building zone limitations so it is unclear whether this will/can be approved. The sailing centre was refused a higher build partly because of wind disturbance for the sailing centre. Would this apply to Kingsbridge?	Mike
	It is going to be a tight squeeze to get to the Kingsbridge ballot done this year as there is quite a lot to do before then.	
	We need Richard Hill to answer questions about the viability of the Kingsbridge plan when they need to build higher than might be approved.	
	Will the Borough keep to the restrictions of the Tall Building Zone?	
	Samuda regeneration seems to be on a much smaller budget than the other projects.	
	OH wants to appoint the ITLA, architect, and communication specialist and involve the RSG only minimally.	
	The regen approach on other estates is being carried out following good practice.	
	OH is being very directional and expecting residents to give up large amounts of time.	
	Presumably this new approach is for financial reasons but there are serious disadvantages for the residents if it is not done with the residents on board.	
	Are OH cutting out the Resident's Advocate role?	

	If OH loses a ballot and wants to hold another one – the offer document “would have to have changed significantly”	
	The GLA’s Good Practice Guide is deliberately vague on some issues, but is a good basis for good practise	
	<p>What needs to happen:</p> <p>Information needs to go out to residents</p> <p>We write to Richard Hill saying Treating residents differently not willing to come and discuss the issues we have brought up</p> <p>We will campaign for a no vote if he does not listen</p>	
	Is the present process on Samuda going to lead to residents getting better homes?	
	Some residents will vote for a scheme because they just want a new home rather than try to get the better deal by standing up	
	Could we make a list of what has gone well and what hasn’t on the 3 resident led regenerations?	
	OH hasn’t built anything yet so residents can’t see what the quality is like and see their competence.	
	Do the RSG actually have any right to influence decisions – Kingsbridge RSG was told they didn’t.	
	Next meeting – RH and CH will be invited.	

